

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/590,572		YOSHIDA, SEISHIN	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Dov Popovici		2625	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Dov Popovici

(2) Peter B. Martine (Reg. No. 32,043)

**Date of Interview:** 12 March 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*1, 3, 6, 10, 12, 13, 17, 18*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Dov Popovici/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2625

**Status of Application:** Response to Non-Final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 3:28 P.M.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An examiner's amendment was discussed and agreed to. Claims 1, 3, 6, 10, 12, 13, 17 and 18 are amended for clarity purpose and in order to better clarify the claims and to overcome antecedent basis issues. The abstract has been amended on line 13 by deleting "means" (see the attached examiner's amendment for more specific details).